1 2	FILED	ENTERED
3		
4		CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
5	CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORIA BY DEPUTY CLERK	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY DEPUTY CLERK
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In re:	Case No. LA 04-19698SB
12	RANDY HALL, aka DURAND D. HALL,	Adv. No. LA 04-02226SB
13	Debtor,	CHAPTER 7
14		ORDER DENYING NONDISCHARGEABILITY
15	BARBARA ROGERS,	OF DEBT
16	Plaintiffs,	
17	vs.	) DATE: September 22, 2005 ) TIME: 10:00 a.m. ) CRTRM.: 1575 (Roybal) )
18	RANDY HALL, et al.,	
19	Defendants.	
20	)	
21		
22	I. Introduction	
23		
24	This adversary proceeding raises the issue of whether the debt owing by debto	
25	Randy Hall <sup>1</sup> ("Hall") to plaintiff Barbara Rogers ("Rogers") is dischargeable pursuant to §	
26		
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	¹This adversary proceeding was also brought against Hall's spouse Sondra L. Kaufeldt. However, she has been dismissed as a defendant.	

523(a)(2).<sup>2</sup> The court finds that the debt is dischargeable on the ground that Rogers has proven no damages.

## II. Facts

The joint pretrial order contains a statement of admitted facts requiring no proof, which are incorporated herein by reference, with one exception. Rogers is not a shareholder in Hy-Tech.

Rogers is a shareholder in Winning Performance, a California corporation. Hy-Tech is a British based corporation with a branch in the United States for which Kimberly Harding, Rogers' daughter, works. Rogers has no interest Hy-Tech or authority to act on its behalf.

Rogers did not pay any money for the work that Hall performed on the enclosed pool house. All of the payments to Hall came from Winning Performance Products, Inc. and Hy-Tech, each of which is a corporate entity that is not before the court. Neither corporate entity has objected to the discharge of the debt here at issue.

Hy-Tech owed money to Winning Performance. The sum owing was at least as much as the funds paid by Hy-Tech to Hall. Hy-Tech did not owe any money to Hall, and did no business with him. Winning Performance also did no business with Hall, and owed him no money. While Rogers was among the shareholders of Winning Performance, neither corporation owed her any money at the time that Hall was paid with funds from Winning Performance or from Hy-Tech.

## III. Analysis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Unless otherwise indicated all section, code and chapter references are to the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1330 (West, 2004), and to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, Rules 1001-9036.

A bankruptcy discharge does not apply to a debt for money, property or services arising from a debtor's false pretenses, false representations, or actual fraud. See § 523(a)(2)(A). The Ninth Circuit applies a five-prong test to determine when a debt is non-dischargeable under this provision. The creditor must show: (1) that the debtor made the representations; (2) that the debtor knew they were false; (3) that the debtor made them with the intention and purpose of deceiving the creditor; (4) that the creditor relied on the statements; and (5) that creditor sustained damages as the proximate result of the representations. See, e.g., Cowan v. Kennedy (In re Kennedy), 108 F.3d 1015, 1018 n.2 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1997).

Rogers has not satisfied the damages prong of this test. This prong requires her to show that she sustained damages as the result of the debtor's fraudulent representation.

In this case, none of the money paid to Hall came from Rogers. It all came from the corporate accounts belonging to Winning Performance and Hy-Tech. These funds did not belong to Rogers.

There is no evidence that justifies Rogers' raid on the corporate funds of Winning Performance to pay her personal contract with Hall. Her status as shareholder gives her no such right to the possession or use of corporate funds for this personal purpose.

A shareholder's sole interest in a corporation, qua shareholder, is the shares of stock. Under applicable corporate law, the shares of stock typically confer five rights on a shareholder. First, a shareholder is entitled to an aliquot share of dividends, at such time (if ever) the corporation pays dividends to its shareholders. *See, e.g.*, 9 B.E. WITKIN, SUMMARY OF CALIFORNIA LAW, Corporations § 174 (1989). Second, a shareholder typically has a right to attend meetings (including an annual meeting) of shareholders and to participate in the election of directors. *See, e.g., id.* § 159. Third, a shareholder has a right to inspect the corporate records, upon appropriate notice. *See, e.g., id.* § 171. Fourth, a shareholder is entitled to receive an annual report of the business and financial condition

of the corporation. See, e.g. id. § 173. Finally, at such time as the corporation ceases business and is liquidated, a shareholder is entitled to a proportionate share of the remaining assets after the creditors are paid in full. See, e.g., id. § 222. There is no evidence that the funds paid to Hall on Rogers' behalf resulted from any of these shareholder rights with respect to Winning Performance.

A shareholder may be entitled to compensation in consequence of the performance of services (as an employee, shareholder or director) for the corporation. A shareholder may also be entitled to corporate funds in consequence of doing business with the corporation as an agent or by contract. There is no evidence that the funds paid to Hall on Rogers' behalf resulted from any such debt owing to her from Winning Performance.

Thus, any monetary damages and actual injury was suffered by the corporations and not by Rogers. Rogers did not sustain any actual damages.

## **IV. Conclusion**

For the above reasons, Hall does not owe a debt to Rogers because she did not suffer any actual damages. Thus, Hall is entitled to judgment that the debt claimed by Rogers is dischargeable in this case.

DATED: November 30, 2005

SAMUEL L. BUFFORD
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

1

28

2 I certify that a true copy of this ORDER DENYING NONDISCHARGEABILITY OF **DEBT** was mailed on to the parties listed below: 3 4 U.S. Trustee's Office 725 So. Figueroa St., Suite 2600 Los Angeles, CA 90017 6 Lawrence D. Levine 30941 W. Agoura Rd., Suite 128 Westlake Village, CA 91361 8 Lawrence D. Levine 325 E Hillcrest Dr #242 Thousand Oaks, CA 91360 Clayton D. Wilson 11 414 South First Ave. 12 Arcadia, CA 91006-0759 13 Michael E Plotkin 150 S Los Robles Ave Ste 910 Pasadena, CA 91101 15 James L Brown 16 Law Offices of James L. Brown 3660 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1118 17 Los Angeles, CA 90010 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27